sizeable number of Central Government's transferable employees reside;

(c) if so, what had been the number of Central Government's transferred employees at the places where Kendriya Vidyalayas had been opened during 1991-95 in MP;

(d) whether Government are also considering to open about a hundred Kendriya Vidyalayas annually from the next academic session; and

(e) if so, the details in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (DEPTT. OF EDUCATION AND DEPTT. OF CULTURE) KUMARI SEUA: (a) A list indicating the details is annexed *(see below).*

(b) and (c) Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan has informed that the proposals for opening of Kendriya Vidyalayas in Civil / Defence Sector are considered where there is a sizeable concentration of Central Government employees including Defence/Central Police Personnel and employees of Central Government Undertakings. The Figures furnished by the sponsors in the proposals are only approximate. As such, definite number of transferred Central Government employees at the time of consideration of opening of Kendriya Vidyalayas are not maintained.

(d) There is no such proposal.

(e) Does not arise.

Statement

State-wise distribution of Kendriya Vidyalayas as on 31,01.1996

Sl. No.	Name of States/UTs.	Number of Kendriya Vidyalayas
1.	Andhra Pradesh	44
2.	Assam	47
3.	Bihar	56
4.	Gujarat	41
5.	Haryana	25
6.	Himachal Pradesh	17
7.	Jammu & Kashmir	25
8.	Karnataka	28
9.	Kerala	25
io.	Madhya Pradesh	91
u.	Maharashtra	51
12.	Manipur	05
13.	Meghalaya	07
14.	Nagaland	06
15.	Orissa	28
16.	Punjab	36
17.	Rajasthan	48
18.	Sikkim	01
19.	Tamilnadu	28
20.	Tripura	05
21.	Uttar Pradesh	117
22.	West Bengal	48
23.	A&N Islands	03
24.	Arunachal Pradesh	08
25.	Chandigarh	06
26.	Delhi	32
27.	Goa	05
28.	Pondicherry	02
29.	Mizoram	01
	Total	836

Applicability of Supreme Court's Judgement to Delhi

819. SHRI VIREN J. SHAH:
SHRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN:

Will the Minister of URBAN AFFAIRS & EMPLOYMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the Supreme Court Judgement in Civil Appeal No. 4574 of 1995 dated December 5, 1995, relating to East Punjab Urban Rent Restrictions (Amendment) Act, 1956;

(b) whether Government have examined the above judgement and its applicability to Delhi in the light of the Delhi Rent Act, 1995;

(c) if so, the details in this regard; and

(d) the reasons for which the Delhi Rent Act has not so far been notified alongwith the date by which the said Act is likely to be notified and made effective?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT (DEPTT OF URBAN EMPLOYMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION) (SHRI S.S. AHLUWALIA): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) The thrust of the Supreme Court Judgement is that the bonafide need of the landlords will stand very much on the same footing in regard to either class of premises, residential or non-residential. The Delhi Rent Act, 1995 already contains provisions to this effect.

(d) An All Party Committee appointed by the Chief Minister, Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi has proposed certain modifications to the Delhi Rent Act, 1995. The proposals relate primarily to the provisions relating to deemed rent, registration of tenancies, inheritability of tenancies, evictions, etc. The said proposals are under the consideration of Government. The Act will be brought into force subject to the final decision in the matter. In view of this, it is not possible to indicate a definite time frame for the same at present.

Mob Attacks by CPWD Workers Instigated by Engineers

820. MAULANA OBAIDULLAH KHAN AZMI: Will the Minister of URBANA AFFAIRS & EMPLOYMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether conditions in CPWD have deteriorated;

(b) whether the CPWD workers are tranishing the image of the organisation by mob attacking the residences of those office-bearers of Central Government Employees Residents Welfare Associations who raise the problems of the colonies;

(c) whether the CPWD Engineers are instigating such attacks; and

(d) if so, the details in this regard and the action taken in the matter?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT (DEPTT OF URBAN EMPLOYMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION) (SHRI S.S. AHLUWALIA): (a) No, Sir.

(b) No, Sir. However, a complaint was received from the Secretary, Central Government Employees Residents Welfare Association, BKS Marg (Block 18-53) that the CPWD workers mob attacked his house on 5.1.96. This incident was reported to the Police by the Secretary of the said Association. It is understood that CPWD laboures also lodged a complaint in the Police Station regarding misbehaviour by the Secretary, Central Government Employees Residents Welfare Association, BKS Marg. No other such incident is reported to have occurred in the past and no such complaint has been received from any other Association.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) Does not arise.

Concession to Senior Citizens in Rail fare

821. SHRI PRAMOD MAHAJAN:
SHRI VIREN J. SHAH:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether senior citizens above the age of 65 years have been allowed 25% concession in rail fare for travelling beyond 300 kms in 2nd class only, whereas the Indian airlines and some other